Jus Corpus Law Journal

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2023 – ISSN 2582-7820

Editor-in-Chief – Prof. (Dr.) Rhishikesh Dave; Publisher – Ayush Pandey

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) v US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC): A Comparative Analysis

Abhinav Naiknaware[a]

[a]Government Law College, Mumbai, India

Received 15 April 2023; *Accepted* 08 May 2023; *Published* 13 May 2023

The securities markets in India and the United States are among the largest and most active in the world. They are regulated by two major regulatory agencies: the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). This research paper presents a comparative analysis of SEBI and SEC's regulatory frameworks and enforcement mechanisms, focusing on their history, roles and responsibilities, organizational structures, enforcement powers, and penalties. The paper begins by briefly overviewing SEBI and SEC, including their establishment, functions, and objectives. It then examines the history and evolution of these agencies, highlighting the key milestones, reforms, and challenges that have shaped their regulatory frameworks over the years. The paper discusses the roles and responsibilities of SEBI and SEC in regulating securities markets, including their powers to oversee market participants, enforce securities laws and regulations, and promote investor protection. The paper also evaluates the organizational structures of SEBI and SEC, including their governance, staffing, and decision-making processes. The paper then compares and contrasts the enforcement powers and penalties of SEBI and SEC, analyzing the similarities and differences in their approaches to enforcing securities laws and punishing violators. The analysis covers civil and criminal enforcement, administrative proceedings, fines, sanctions, and disgorgement of profits. Finally, the paper discusses the implications of the research findings for policymakers, market participants, and investors in both India and the United States. It highlights the strengths and weaknesses of the regulatory frameworks and enforcement mechanisms of SEBI and SEC and identifies areas for improvement and reform. Overall, the research paper provides a comprehensive and insightful analysis

of the regulatory landscape in securities markets in India and the United States and contributes to a better understanding of the challenges and opportunities in regulating securities markets in a globalized and rapidly evolving financial system.

Keywords: *securities regulation, investor protection, enforcement, market manipulation, insider trading, disclosure requirements.*

INTRODUCTION

The paper examines the history and evolution of these agencies, their roles and responsibilities in regulating securities markets, the organizational structures of SEBI and SEC, and the enforcement powers and penalties available to them. The paper also evaluates the similarities and differences between SEBI and SEC's regulatory frameworks and enforcement mechanisms. It discusses the implications of these findings for policymakers, market participants, and investors in both India and the United States. Overall, the paper aims to contribute to a better understanding of the regulatory landscape in securities markets and to promote greater transparency, accountability, and investor protection.

SIGNIFICANCE OF COMPARING SEBI AND SEC

Comparing SEBI (Securities and Exchange Board of India) and SEC (Securities and Exchange Commission) is significant for several reasons:

Regulatory Framework: A comparative analysis of SEBI[1] and SEC can help identify similarities and differences in their regulatory frameworks. Understanding the regulatory framework is crucial for investors, companies, and other stakeholders to ensure compliance with regulations and safeguard their interests.

Organizational Structure: SEBI and SEC have different organizational structures, which impact their ability to regulate the securities markets. Comparing the organizational structures of SEBI and SEC can help identify the strengths and weaknesses of their regulatory systems.

[1] Securities and Exchange Board of India Act 1992

Enforcement Powers and Penalties: SEBI and SEC can enforce regulations and impose penalties on violating companies and individuals. Comparing the enforcement powers and penalties of SEBI and SEC can help identify the differences in their regulatory systems and the impact of these differences on the effectiveness of their enforcement efforts.

Effectiveness: A comparative analysis of SEBI and SEC can help assess the effectiveness of their regulatory systems in achieving their objectives. Evaluating the effectiveness of SEBI and SEC can provide insights into their strengths and weaknesses and identify areas for improvement.

Lessons Learned: Comparing SEBI and SEC can provide valuable lessons for other regulatory authorities and stakeholders in the securities markets. By analyzing the regulatory actions taken by SEBI and SEC, other regulators can learn from their successes and failures and improve their regulatory systems.

In summary, comparing SEBI and SEC is significant because it can provide insights into their regulatory frameworks, organizational structures, enforcement powers, penalties, effectiveness, and lessons learned. These insights can help improve the regulation of securities markets and protect the interests of investors, companies, and other stakeholders.

BACKGROUND

Brief Overview of SEBI and SEC - SEBI and SEC are regulatory authorities overseeing the respective countries' securities markets. Here is a brief overview of each:

SEBI (Securities and Exchange Board of India): SEBI is the regulatory authority for the securities markets in India. It was established in 1992 as a statutory body to regulate and develop the securities markets in India. SEBI's primary functions include regulating stock exchanges, protecting the interests of investors, promoting fair trading practices, and regulating intermediaries such as brokers, merchant bankers, and mutual funds. SEBI is also responsible for enforcing securities laws and regulations and has the power to investigate and prosecute violations.

SEC (Securities and Exchange Commission): SEC is the regulatory authority for the securities markets in the United States. It was established in 1934 as a federal agency to regulate and oversee the securities markets in the United States. The main functions of the SEC include regulating securities exchanges, protecting the interests of investors, promoting fair trading practices, and enforcing securities laws and regulations. The SEC also regulates public companies, accounting firms, and other participants in the securities markets.

Both SEBI and SEC play a crucial role in maintaining the integrity and stability of the securities markets in their respective countries. They protect investors' interests, ensure fair trading practices, and promote transparency and accountability in the securities markets.

ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF SEBI AND SEC

The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in the United States has a set of roles and responsibilities that are designed to regulate securities exchanges, protect investors, promote transparency and accountability, and enforce securities laws and regulations. In order to ensure that securities exchanges function reasonably and efficiently, the SEC regulates them. The SEC is also responsible for protecting the interests of investors in the securities markets. It does so by regulating public companies, accounting firms, and other securities market participants, as well as promoting fair trading practices. Promoting transparency and accountability in the securities markets is another key responsibility of the SEC. To achieve this, the SEC requires companies to disclose relevant information to investors and regulates insider trading. Finally, the SEC has the authority to investigate and prosecute securities laws and regulations violations and impose penalties on companies and individuals who violate these regulations.

In summary, while there may be some differences in the specific rules and regulations enforced by the SEC and the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), both regulatory bodies share similar responsibilities. They aim to regulate and oversee the securities markets in their respective countries, protect the interests of investors, promote fair trading practices, and enforce securities laws and regulations.

HISTORY AND EVOLUTION OF SEBI AND SEC

SEBI, the Securities and Exchange Board of India[2], was established in 1992 as a statutory body to regulate and develop the securities markets in India. Prior to SEBI's establishment, the Ministry of Finance and the Reserve Bank of India were primarily responsible for regulating the securities markets in India. The Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, 1992, gave SEBI legal authority to regulate the securities markets in India. Since its establishment, SEBI has undergone several changes and reforms to improve the efficiency and transparency of the securities markets in India. In 2014, SEBI introduced a new regulatory framework for stock exchanges to promote fair trading practices and enhance transparency and accountability in the markets.

The SEC, Securities and Exchange Commission[3], on the other hand, was established in 1934 as a federal agency to regulate and oversee the securities markets in the United States. The establishment of the SEC was in response to the stock market crash of 1929 and the subsequent Great Depression, which revealed widespread fraud and corruption in the securities markets. The Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 were enacted to give the SEC legal authority to regulate the securities markets in the United States. Since its establishment, the SEC has undergone several changes and reforms to address new challenges and developments in the securities markets. For example, in response to the financial crisis of 2008, the SEC was granted new powers to regulate credit rating agencies and hedge funds and to increase oversight of investment banks and broker-dealers. In summary, SEBI and SEC have undergone significant changes and reforms since their establishment to improve the efficiency and transparency of the securities markets in their respective countries. While the challenges and developments faced by each authority may differ, their ultimate goal remains the same: to promote fair trading practices, protect the interests of investors, and enforce securities laws and regulations. The establishment of these regulatory bodies is a testament to the importance of

---

[2] AH Khan, 'Evolution of Securities and Exchange Board of India: A Historical Survey' (2015) 3(4) International Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research

[3] RJ Steinberg, 'The evolution of the US securities market and the SEC' (2011) 23(1) Journal of Applied Corporate Finance

having effective oversight in the securities markets to ensure their proper functioning and prevent fraudulent and manipulative practices that can harm investors and the overall economy.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF SEBI AND SEC

Analyzing The Similarities And Differences In The Organizational Structure Of SEBI And SEC:

- Both SEBI and SEC are independent agencies established by their respective governments to regulate and oversee the securities markets in their countries.
- Both agencies are headed by a chairman or chairperson appointed by the government.
- Both agencies have a board of directors or commissioners responsible for making policy decisions and overseeing the agency's operations.
- Both agencies have various departments or divisions to carry out their functions, such as market regulation, enforcement, legal, and corporate services.

Differences:

- SEBI has a four-tier structure consisting of the chairman, board of directors, executive directors, and various departments. In contrast, the SEC has a three-tier structure consisting of the chairman, commissioners, and various offices and divisions.
- SEBI has a centralized structure, with its headquarters in Mumbai and regional offices in various cities across India. In contrast, the SEC has a decentralized structure, with its headquarters in Washington, D.C., and regional offices in various cities across the United States.
- SEBI has a smaller workforce than the SEC, with about 700 employees as of 2021. In contrast, the SEC has a much larger workforce, with over 4,400 employees as of 2021.

COMPARING THE ENFORCEMENT POWERS AND PENALTIES OF SEBI AND SEC

When comparing the enforcement powers and penalties of SEBI and SEC, it becomes apparent that both regulatory bodies have a similar mandate and objective. However, SEBI's enforcement powers include conducting inquiries, inspections, and audits to monitor compliance with

securities laws, issuing directions, passing orders, and taking legal action against entities and individuals for fraudulent and manipulative practices, insider trading, and non-compliance with regulations. SEBI can also suspend or cancel the registration of intermediaries, debar them from trading or accessing securities markets, and initiate legal proceedings to recover losses. On the other hand, the SEC's enforcement powers include conducting investigations and enforcing compliance with securities laws for a range of entities, including stock exchanges, brokers, dealers, investment advisors, and mutual funds. The SEC can bring civil and criminal charges against entities and individuals for securities fraud, insider trading, and other violations of securities laws. Additionally, the SEC can impose injunctions to prevent future violations of securities laws and seek restitution for affected investors.

Regarding penalties, both SEBI and SEC can impose monetary penalties on entities and individuals found guilty of violating securities laws, including requiring disgorgement of ill-gotten gains. However, SEBI's penalties tend to focus on debarment from trading or accessing securities markets, while SEC can also seek criminal sanctions, including fines, imprisonment, and forfeiture of assets. Additionally, the SEC can seek injunctions to prevent future violations of securities laws and order the payment of restitution to affected investors.

In conclusion, while SEBI and SEC have similar mandates and objectives, they differ in terms of enforcement powers and penalties. SEBI tends to focus on imposing monetary penalties and debarment from trading or accessing securities markets, while the SEC can also seek criminal sanctions and court injunctions. When comparing the enforcement powers and penalties of SEBI and SEC, it becomes apparent that both regulatory bodies have a similar mandate and objective.

However, SEBI's enforcement powers include conducting inquiries, inspections, and audits to monitor compliance with securities laws, issuing directions, passing orders, and taking legal action against entities and individuals for fraudulent and manipulative practices, insider trading, and non-compliance with regulations. SEBI can also suspend or cancel the registration of intermediaries, debar them from trading or accessing securities markets, and initiate legal proceedings to recover losses.

On the other hand, the SEC's enforcement powers include conducting investigations and enforcing compliance with securities laws for a range of entities, including stock exchanges, brokers, dealers, investment advisors, and mutual funds. The SEC can bring civil and criminal charges against entities and individuals for securities fraud, insider trading, and other violations of securities laws. Additionally, the SEC can impose injunctions to prevent future violations of securities laws and seek restitution for affected investors.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS AND CHALLENGES

SEBI

- In March 2021, SEBI introduced a new framework for accredited investors, allowing individuals and entities to qualify as accredited investors based on their financial knowledge, expertise, and experience. Accredited investors can participate in private placements of securities, subject to certain conditions.
- In April 2021, SEBI announced a new regulatory sandbox framework for fintech companies, allowing them to test innovative products, services, and solutions in a controlled environment. The sandbox framework is intended to foster innovation, encourage competition, and facilitate the development of new products and services in the securities market.
- In July 2021, SEBI released a consultation paper on revising the regulatory framework for proxy advisors, seeking public comments on proposals to strengthen the governance, disclosure, and transparency norms. The consultation paper proposes various changes, including mandatory registration of proxy advisors, minimum net worth requirements, and disclosure of conflicts of interest.

SEC

- In November 2020, SEC adopted amendments to the rules governing the exempt offerings of securities, increasing the maximum offering amount and revising the eligibility criteria for certain exemptions. The amendments are intended to facilitate

capital formation and provide greater access to investment opportunities for both issuers and investors.

- In December 2020, SEC approved a new rule requiring public companies to disclose their human capital management practices, including information on workforce diversity, development, and engagement. The rule intends to provide investors with more information on how companies manage their human capital, which is increasingly viewed as a critical driver of long-term value creation.
- In February 2021, SEC issued a statement on the role of special purpose acquisition companies (SPACs) in the securities market, highlighting potential investment risks and encouraging greater transparency and disclosure from SPAC sponsors. The statement is part of the SEC's ongoing review of SPACs and their compliance with securities laws and regulations.

While Both Agencies have made significant progress in Regulating The Securities Market, They face several challenges in achieving their objectives. Here are some of the challenges faced by SEBI and SEC in regulating the securities market.

SEBI

Insider trading: Insider trading is one of SEBI's most significant challenges. It involves trading in securities based on material non-public information, and it can distort the market and damage investor confidence. SEBI has taken several measures to prevent insider trading but it remains a significant challenge.

Fraudulent and manipulative practices: Another challenge SEBI faces is fraudulent and manipulative practices, such as price rigging, market manipulation, and front-running. These practices can undermine the integrity of the market and harm investors. SEBI has imposed fines and penalties on entities and individuals engaged in such practices, but detecting and preventing them remains challenging.

Technology-related challenges: With the increasing use of technology in the securities market, SEBI faces challenges in ensuring the security and integrity of the market. Cybersecurity threats,

algorithmic trading, and high-frequency trading pose challenges for SEBI in maintaining fair and orderly markets.

SEC

Cybersecurity threats: Cybersecurity threats are a significant challenge faced by the SEC. As more financial transactions are conducted online, the risk of cyberattacks increases, which can compromise the integrity of the market and harm investors. The SEC has taken several measures to address this challenge, including increasing cybersecurity resources and promoting cybersecurity awareness.

The complexity of financial products: The increasing complexity of financial products, such as derivatives and structured products, is a challenge for the SEC. These products can be difficult to understand, and their risks can be challenging to assess. The SEC has taken steps to improve transparency and disclosure of these products, but further measures may be required.

Globalization: The globalization of financial markets is another challenge the SEC faces. The SEC needs to coordinate with regulators in other countries to ensure that regulations are consistent and that investors are protected. This requires cooperation and coordination among regulators around the world.

In conclusion, SEBI and SEC face several challenges in the regulation of the securities market. These challenges are complex and require ongoing efforts and innovative solutions to maintain the market's integrity and protect investors.

CASE STUDY

SEBI and SEC have taken several regulatory actions against entities that have violated securities laws and regulations. here are two case studies of regulatory actions taken by SEBI and SEC:

SEBI: In 2018, SEBI imposed an Rs. 1 crore penalty on HDFC Bank for violating anti-money laundering (AML) regulations. SEBI found that HDFC Bank had failed to exercise due diligence in verifying the identity of its clients and had not monitored suspicious transactions. SEBI also

found that HDFC Bank had yet to comply with the know-your-customer (KYC) norms prescribed by SEBI. SEBI's penalty was based on its powers under the SEBI Act, which allows it to impose penalties on entities that violate securities laws and regulations. The penalty imposed by SEBI was one of the highest penalties ever imposed by the regulator in India.

SEC: In 2020, the SEC charged Robinhood Financial LLC, a famous online brokerage firm, for misleading customers about its revenue sources. The SEC alleged that Robinhood had misled customers about its revenue sources and had failed to disclose that it received payment for order flow from market makers. The SEC's action was based on its powers under the Securities Act of 1933, which prohibits false or misleading statements concerning the sale of securities. The SEC's action against Robinhood was part of its efforts to protect retail investors and ensure they have access to accurate and transparent information about the securities they invest in.

In conclusion, SEBI and SEC have taken regulatory actions against entities that violate securities laws and regulations. These actions promote transparency, accountability, and investor protection in the securities market.

Here is an analysis of the effectiveness of the regulatory action taken by SEBI and SEC in the case studies mentioned above:

SEBI: The penalty of Rs. 1 crore imposed by SEBI on HDFC Bank for violating anti-money laundering (AML) regulations was a significant regulatory action. The penalty was one of India's highest penalties ever imposed by the regulator, and it sent a strong message to the financial services industry about the importance of complying with AML regulations. The penalty also highlighted the importance of SEBI's role in enforcing securities laws and regulations. SEBI's regulatory action demonstrated its commitment to protecting investors and maintaining the integrity of the securities market. However, the penalty imposed by SEBI may not have been enough to deter HDFC Bank from future violations of AML regulations. As a result, SEBI should continue to monitor HDFC Bank and other financial services companies to ensure that they comply with securities laws and regulations.

SEC: The SEC's action against Robinhood Financial LLC for misleading customers about its revenue sources was a critical regulatory action. The action demonstrated the SEC's commitment to ensuring that retail investors have access to accurate and transparent information about the securities they invest in. The action also highlighted the importance of the SEC's role in enforcing securities laws and regulations. The SEC's regulatory action sent other online brokerage firms a message that they must be transparent about their revenue sources and not mislead customers. However, some critics argue that the penalty imposed by the SEC on Robinhood was not severe enough. The penalty was only $65 million, a relatively small amount for a company like Robinhood. As a result, more than the penalty may have been needed to deter other companies from future violations of securities laws and regulations.

In conclusion, the regulatory actions taken by SEBI and SEC in the case studies mentioned above were necessary to ensure transparency, accountability, and investor protection in the securities market. However, regulators must continue monitoring the entities they regulate to ensure compliance with securities laws and regulations.

The regulatory actions taken by SEBI and SEC against HDFC Bank and Robinhood financial LLC respectively, provide several valuable lessons for entities operating in the securities market. Here are some lessons that can be learned from these cases:

Compliance with regulations is crucial: The cases highlight the importance of compliance with securities laws and regulations. Financial institutions must comply with all relevant regulations, including anti-money laundering (AML) and know-your-customer (KYC) norms. Failure to comply with regulations can result in regulatory action and significant penalties.

Transparency is essential: The cases emphasize the importance of transparency in the securities market. Financial institutions must be transparent about their revenue sources and provide accurate and complete information to customers. Misleading customers or withholding important information can lead to regulatory action and damage the company's reputation.

Regulatory action can be severe: The cases demonstrate that regulatory action can be severe and have significant consequences for companies. Penalties imposed by regulatory bodies can

substantially damage a company's reputation. Companies must take regulatory compliance seriously to avoid regulatory action and its consequences.

Investor protection is a top priority: The cases highlight the importance of investor protection in the securities market. Regulators prioritize the protection of investors, and entities operating in the market must ensure that they operate ethically and in the best interests of their customers.

In conclusion, the cases of HDFC Bank and Robinhood Financial LLC provide valuable lessons for entities operating in the securities market. Compliance with regulations, transparency, and investor protection are essential for companies to avoid regulatory action and maintain their reputation in the market.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this research paper explored the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) of the United States. The main objective of this paper was to compare and contrast the regulatory frameworks of these two organizations, highlighting their similarities and differences. The paper found that both SEBI and SEC have a similar mandate of regulating the securities markets in their respective jurisdictions. However, the two regulatory bodies differ in their legal frameworks, enforcement powers, and market structures. SEBI is relatively younger than SEC, and thus, it still faces challenges such as resource constraints, staff shortages, and limited enforcement powers. On the other hand, SEC has established a more robust regulatory framework over time and has a broad range of enforcement tools at its disposal. The strengths of SEBI include its proactive approach to regulation, its ability to adapt to market changes, and its focus on investor education and protection. However, the weaknesses of SEBI include its limited legal powers, inadequate resources, and lack of coordination with other regulatory bodies.

On the other hand, the strengths of the SEC include its extensive enforcement powers, the robustness of its regulatory framework, and its established reputation in the international community. However, its weaknesses include regulatory capture concerns, reliance on self-regulatory organizations, and the potential for bureaucratic inefficiencies. In conclusion, while

SEBI and SEC share similar objectives, the two regulatory bodies differ significantly in their regulatory frameworks, legal powers, and market structures. Both SEBI and SEC have strengths and weaknesses, which reflect the challenges that regulatory bodies face in the dynamic and complex world of securities regulation. Ultimately, any regulatory body's success depends on its ability to balance investor protection with promoting market growth, innovation, and efficiency.

The research findings on the comparison between SEBI and SEC can have several implications for policymakers, market participants and investors. Some of these implications are:

Policymakers: The research findings can help policymakers in India, and the United States to identify the strengths and weaknesses of their regulatory frameworks and enforcement mechanisms. They can use these insights to enhance their regulatory regimes and better align them with international standards.

Market participants: The research findings can help market participants in India and the United States to understand the differences in the regulatory frameworks and enforcement powers of SEBI and SEC. This understanding can help them navigate the regulatory landscape more effectively and comply with the relevant securities laws and regulations.

Investors: The research findings can provide valuable information to investors interested in investing in securities markets in India and the United States. They can use this information to make informed investment decisions and to evaluate the risks and rewards of investing in these markets.

Cross-border investment: The research findings can affect cross-border investment between India and the United States. Understanding the regulatory frameworks and enforcement powers of SEBI and SEC can help investors to comply with applicable laws and regulations when investing across borders. It can also provide insights into such investments' potential risks and challenges. Overall, the research findings can promote greater transparency, accountability, and

investor protection in both India and the United States and contribute to the development of more efficient and well-functioning securities markets globally.