Jus Corpus Law Journal

Open Access Law Journal – Copyright © 2025 – ISSN 2582-7820

Editor-in-Chief – Prof. (Dr.) Rhishikesh Dave; Publisher – Ayush Pandey

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 International (CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium provided the original work is properly cited.

Intellectual Property in M&A: Evolution, Significance and Strategic Considerations

Manik Sehgal[a] Vanika Kansal[b] Bhawini Kumari[c]

[a]Campus Law Centre, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi, India [b]Campus Law Centre, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi, India [c]Campus Law Centre, Faculty of Law, University of Delhi, India

Received 12 December 2024; *Accepted* 14 January 2025; *Published* 17 January 2025

Intellectual Property (IP) has shifted from a secondary factor to a dominant one in the blossoming realm of mergers and acquisitions (M&A). Traditionally, IP served a reserved role in M&A deals, but its importance is burgeoning, especially in IP-driven fields such as technology and life sciences. Noteworthy transactions, for example, Google's 2011 acquisition of Motorola Mobility, underscore IP's strategic value in carving deal outcomes. The M&A procedure involving IP usually involves four main stages: IP Strategy, IP Due Diligence, IP Risk Management and Integration Planning, and IP Integration. These stages are mapped out to expedite the seamless transfer, valuation, and management of IP assets while managing risks and warranting compliance with third-party rights. In India, legal frameworks such as the Patents Act 1970 and the Copyright Act 1957, along with institutions like the Indian Patent Office, play a pivotal role in assessing IP portfolios. This study examines the critical role of IP in M&A transactions, focusing on its increasing relevance in deal strategies, risk management, and post-acquisition integration.

Keywords: *intellectual property, mergers and acquisitions due diligence, risk management, valuation, compliance.*

INTRODUCTION

Intellectual property has not always been a focal point in M&A transactions. While it has traditionally been a critical factor in certain IP-intensive sectors, such as life sciences—where the value of pharmaceuticals often directly corresponds to the breadth of patent protection—or in specific deal structures like spin-outs and joint ventures, where precise allocation of IP rights is essential, this was not the case for broader M&A deals. In major transactions, it was once common for acquirers to move forward with a typical acquisition by determining valuations, negotiating key deal terms, and even finalizing the transaction structure before engaging IP experts, whether in-house or external. In such scenarios, intellectual property played a secondary role—akin to the caboose of a train: present for the journey, but far from influencing the value, direction, structure, or key business terms of the deal.

Intellectual Property (IP) and Mergers and Acquisitions (M&A) have traditionally been regarded as separate legal domains, operating in distinct and isolated spheres. However, with the dawn of the 'idea economy' in contemporary times, the significance of IP in trade and its role in making firms economically sustainable has seen a sharp surge. Intellectual property rights were traditionally intricately knitted into business operations and revenue models in M&A deals, which often circumvent the need for independent evaluation. This scenario has since altered and IPRs are now fundamental assets in mergers and acquisitions (M&A). The importance of IPRs in M&A deals has been enhanced because of a variety of reasons, namely accelerated patent market liquidity, an expansion in patent deals, and the growth of increasingly convoluted patent valuation methods. By authorizing ownership and routinely granting proprietary rights to use creative ideas, intellectual property brings considerable value to a business. This is especially evident in high-tech fields where a company's ideas, which are backed by intellectual property rights (IPRs) like patents, copyrights, trademarks, and design rights, are the most treasured assets.[1]

[1] Aabhas Soni and Aastha Gupta, 'Navigating IPR In Mergers And Acquisitions - Insights From A Start-Up Standpoint' (*Live Law*, 07 February 2024) <https://www.livelaw.in/lawschool/articles/navigating-ipr-in-mergers-and-acquisitions-insights-from-a-start-up-standpoint-248831> accessed 07 December 2024

When two entities merge, their disparate intellectual property (IP) portfolios should be mingled, and this can be a demanding procedure if it is not standardized. Imagine dealing with patents in two different scenarios, one with considerable documentation and the other jumbled with stray sticky notes. Anarchy is the inevitable result. Standardizing workflows and processes is important for this very point. To facilitate seamless information transference, well-organized documentation, and effective management, standardization is the basis. Like a synchronized dance, organized workflows allow troupes to collaborate harmoniously, irrespective of their pre-merger processes. This bolsters knowledge sharing, enhances innovation and augments the potential of the integrated IP portfolio.

In the prevailing scenario, investors are drawn to innovative and distinctive start-ups that command a competitive advantage. Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) are crucial in granting the protection start-ups call for, modifying their intellectual property into a key Unique Selling Proposition (USP). Warren Buffett famously stated, 'In business, I seek economic fortresses safeguarded by impenetrable moats. ' This idea of an economic moat, representing a company's competitive edge, highlights the vital role of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) in protecting innovation. Strong IPR protection serves as the foundation of this moat, and securing it promptly can greatly improve a company's ability to attract investors and achieve significant returns.

CURRENT SCENARIO OF IPR IN M&A

In deals concerning mergers and acquisitions (M&A), intellectual property is of extreme significance. This is evident from the fact that, over the past two decades, numerous M&A deals have been driven solely by the desire to gain access to competitors' intellectual property. For example, Google's acquisition of Motorola Mobility in 2011 illustrates this point. Motorola owned numerous patents related to the open-source Android operating system, which was a significant competitor in Google's line of business. As a result, Google acquired Motorola Mobility that year. The notion that this acquisition was primarily for the patents was confirmed

when, three years later, Lenovo purchased Motorola from Google. Notably, Google retained Motorola's Android-related patents, which were excluded from the sale to Lenovo.[2]

The significance of intellectual property rights, data, technology, privacy, and cybersecurity in M&A transactions is steadily increasing. In industries like technology, pharmaceuticals, communications, and entertainment, intellectual property rights serve as fundamental drivers of economic value. Furthermore, across nearly all industries, intellectual property rights are essential and often play a crucial role in sustaining business operations, maintaining competitiveness, and enabling companies to achieve their long-term goals. India has seen a number of successful IP-driven mergers and acquisitions (M&As) in recent years. One prominent example is Marico Ltd., a well-known fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG) company. Marico carefully bought a number of well-known brands between 1995 and 2018, including SIL from KFL, Nihar from Hindustan Unilever Limited, Beardo, a male grooming brand from Zed Lifestyle, and personal care brands including Livon, Zatak, etc. that were once owned by Reckitt Benckiser.

The intellectual property serves as a critical bargaining tool for start-ups to secure the most favorable deals in M&A transactions. A larger portfolio of IP registrations significantly increases the likelihood of attracting substantial financial investments. A prominent example is the 2019 acquisition of the Indian online travel platform Yatra by Ebix through a merger valued at $337.8 million. Similarly, Pine Labs' $110 million acquisition of Qwikcilver, along with other recent transactions, highlights the critical role of IP in mergers and acquisitions. Intellectual property serves as a competitive advantage for start-ups, representing their inherent creativity and uniqueness. A start-up's ability to develop and manage its IP demonstrates its potential to attract investors as a desirable acquisition target. This appeal, combined with its competitive edge, significantly enhances the start-up's overall valuation. A strong IP foundation not only reflects a start-up's current strengths but also underscores its potential to achieve substantial market value in the future.

---

[2] Hemant Srivastava, 'Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Mergers & Acquisitions' (*Legal Service India*) <https://www.legalserviceindia.com/legal/article-5274-role-of-intellectual-property-rights-in-mergers-aquisitions.html> accessed 07 December 2024

The laws governing IPR cum M&A transactions are namely Copyright Act, 1957; Patents Act, 1970; Patents Act, 1970; Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA), 1999; Trade Marks Act, 1999; Information Technology Act, 2000; Competition Act, 2002; The Companies Act, 2013 etc. Experienced M&A practitioners now routinely consider key intellectual property (IP) issues when structuring their transactions. This is especially true when a target company depends on a critical IP in-license that is either non-assignable or could be terminated due to a change of control. For instance, the licensed IP might be essential to the target's products and neither easily replaceable nor feasible to design around. In such scenarios, deal structures that could be classified as an assignment under applicable law—thereby risking a breach of the in-license—would be avoided. Examples of such unfavorable structures include an asset transfer or a forward merger where the target entity ceases to exist.[3]

STAGES OF M&A INVOLVING INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

Introduction: Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) present opportunities for the acquiring entity to gain straight access to the intellectual property (IP) assets of the target entity. The process of transferring IP rights and associated usage permissions from the target organization to the acquirer constitutes an 'IP Transfer Chain.' Such transfers must align with the financial and strategic interests of all involved parties. In cases where the M&A transaction results in further organizational restructuring—such as the outsourcing of core IT functions—both the operational and legal processes required to transfer IP assets to third-party service providers become increasingly intricate.

IP transfer in an asset deal occurs as follows:

- The seller or the vendor divests a business unit through an asset carve-out, requiring the transfer of IP rights like design patents and SAP source code to the new standalone entity.
- Post-divestiture, the buyer integrates the carved-out business, necessitating another transfer of IP assets to align with the buyer's operations.

[3] Adv Aameer V Kale, 'Procedure and Protection of IPR in Mergers and Acquisitions' (*A K Legal & Associates*, 07 December 2021) <https://aklegal.in/procedure-and-protection-of-ipr-in-mergers-and-acquisitions/> accessed 08 December 2024

- To achieve cost efficiencies, the buyer often outsources central functions (such as IT), leading to a final transfer of key IP assets, such as licenses and proprietary software, to third-party providers.

Representations, warranties and guarantees (Reps and Warranties) are essential commitments in M&A transactions, outlining the responsibilities and conditions of the business being sold. The seller's reps focus on verifying the accuracy of assets, liabilities, and contracts, while the buyer's reps provide assurances about their financial capacity and authority to complete the purchase. These assurances are formally included in the purchase agreement, not the letter of intent (LOI), giving buyers an advantage in negotiations. Reps and warranties are a key focus of negotiations, with sellers in larger deals sometimes requesting early drafts for review. Buyers typically require more comprehensive representations from sellers to manage the financial risks associated with the transaction.[4]

Approach to IP Management: The M&A process broadly entails two phases. First, the pre-signing phase and second, the post-signing phase, also called the integration phase. The pre-signing phase further consists of the preliminary phase (pre-announcement) followed by the transaction phase (pre-closing).[5]

During the 'Pre-signing Phase', the parties negotiate the terms of the transaction, conduct the due diligence and execute the definitive transaction. To simplify:

- Preliminary Phase: This phase begins with strategic planning and identifying a suitable target company. The strategy should guarantee access to the target's intellectual property assets.
- Transaction Phase: This stage consists of IP due diligence, integration planning, valuation, and the formal transfer of liabilities and control (closing). Due diligence

[4] Mehdi Farhadi and George Tovstiga, 'Intellectual Property Management in M&A Transactions' (2010) 3(1) Journal of Strategy and Management <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45487968_Intellectual_property_management_in_MA_transactions> accessed 17 January 2025

[5] Jacob Orosz, 'M&A Reps & Warranties | A Complete Guide' (*Morgan & Westfield*) <https://morganandwestfield.com/knowledge/reps-and-warranties/> accessed 07 December 2024

identifies IP risks, ensures compliance with third-party rights, and safeguards IP handling. The target's value, assessed through working capital, fixed assets, intangible assets, and IP, determines whether the transaction proceeds.

In the 'Post-signing Phase or Integration Phase', the parties work to fulfill all conditions required for the transaction, such as obtaining third-party consents, shareholder approvals, and regulatory clearances. This phase concludes with the closing of the transaction, where the buyer's payment is exchanged for the seller's assets or stock. However, in smaller asset transactions where no closing conditions exist, the signing and closing can occur simultaneously, eliminating the need for a post-signing phase.[6]

For the sake of brevity, the stages of M&A in cases dealing with IP are simply classified into four phases: **IP Strategy, IP Due Diligence, IP Risk Management & Integration Planning and IP Integration.**

IP Strategy: The IP strategy phase in M&A begins with the buyer identifying target companies and initiating discussions. Before due diligence, both parties sign a confidentiality agreement to safeguard shared sensitive information. At this stage, the buyer often relies on publicly available data, such as IP filings, due to limited access to the seller's internal details.

In the Indian context, resources like the Indian Patent Office (IPO) and legal frameworks such as the Patents Act 1970 and the Copyright Act 1957 are critical for evaluating the target's IP portfolio. Databases such as the Indian Patent Office (IPO) or the Controller General of Patents, Designs, and Trademarks (CGPDTM) can serve as critical resources for assessing the target's publicly disclosed IP portfolio. Buyers also consider Indian judicial precedents on confidentiality and IP disputes to mitigate risks.

IP DUE DILIGENCE

Introduction: Businesses are becoming aware of how crucial intellectual property (IP) assets are in promoting financial expansion and obtaining funding in today's competitive world.

[6] David M Klein, *Assets & Finance: Intellectual Property in Mergers and Acquisitions* (first published 2011, Thomson Reuters 2011)

Companies frequently carry out IP audits to completely understand the worth of their intangible assets and realize their full potential. IP due diligence is crucial in this situation. IP due diligence examines a company's intellectual property portfolio by confirming its rights, identifying potential risks, and determining its value. Assessing the demands of the acquirer, the target company's business activities and the nature of its intellectual property assets is the main objective of IP due diligence in mergers and acquisitions (M&A). Collecting detailed information about the target's IP ensures a seamless post-integration process. It also identifies risks and provides supporting evidence, which helps the buyer in evaluation and taking a final call.

Apart from M&A, IP due diligence proves invaluable in situations like management buyouts, leveraged buyouts, raising capital and initial public offerings, reorganizations, and outsourcing. Ultimately, it offers businesses a well-rounded understanding of their intangible assets, helping them in the mitigation of risks and maximizing their value.[7]

Significance of Intellectual Property Due Diligence in a M&A Transaction and Checklist to Follow:

In M&A involving IP rights, intellectual property (IP) due diligence has become the heart and soul of the transaction as it protects the overall success of the transaction, reduces the possibility of conflicts and minimizes potential disagreements.

- **Facilitates Accurate Valuation:** IP rights often have a sizeable share of the pie in the company's net worth. It's important to have a thorough IP due diligence to confirm the assets are correctly valued and accounted for by preventing overestimation or undervaluation.
- **Identify Potential Risks: The** Identification of potential risks, such as IP lawsuits, infringement issues, or ongoing disputes that could affect how the IP is used in the future, can be surfaced by a well-structured IP due diligence process. Consequently, the acquiring company can evaluate potential liabilities and consider them while making choices.

[7] Farhadi (n 4)

- **Planning for Integration:** The Acquiring company needs a comprehensive understanding of the IP assets involved for a post-transaction integration to seamlessly integrate the IP into its present portfolio.
- **Verification of Ownership and Rights:** IP due diligence safeguards the acquiring company by ensuring that there are no conflicts or third-party claims that could impact the transaction. This phase assures that the target/seller is the actual owner of the IP concerned and has the legal right to sell or transfer the intellectual property.

Checklist to Follow:

Due diligence is essential and must be done comprehensively to ensure all the possible IP-related issues are dealt with. Thus, the following steps should be taken by the acquiring company while evaluating a target company:

1. Determining IP Assets: A list of all the target company's intellectual property assets should be requested, whether registered or unregistered. The list must be inclusive of trademarks, patents and patent-type filings. Ask for particular information about any licenses or agreements pertaining to the target's usage or shared ownership of any intellectual property that is owned or jointly owned by a third party. Find out if the seller or the target's affiliates possess or utilize any intellectual property that is not included in the acquisition in the event of a carve-out transaction, and find out if it will be transferred or how the target will continue to have access to it after closure.

2. Ownership of Intellectual Property: Examine the IP search reports to verify the following for each registered IP item disclosed by the target:

- The accuracy of the disclosed information, ensuring the target is the current recorded owner of the claimed IP;
- The expiration dates of issued patents; and
- The presence of any gaps in the chain of title. If gaps in the chain of title are identified, request copies of the relevant documentation to address them.

3. Development & Acquisition of IP: To understand how the target's intellectual property was created or obtained, ask for papers and information. In particular, ascertain whether the IP was generated by the target's employees alone, in collaboration with outside parties, with the assistance of consultants or independent contractors, or with funds, resources, or staff from government agencies. Ascertain the target's ownership of any intellectual property developed by, with, or obtained from staff members, consultants, independent contractors, or any third parties by requesting information and supporting documentation.

4. IP-Related Agreements: A critical consideration when reviewing IP-related agreements is whether any significant IP rights acquired by or licensed to the target could be negatively impacted by the transaction. If the target holds licenses for foreign IP, assess whether it is necessary to engage local counsel from the relevant jurisdictions to verify if there are any license recording requirements and whether they have been fulfilled.

5. IP Protection & Enforcement: Ask for information and supporting documentation about the target's approach to safeguarding and upholding its intellectual property, paying special attention to the kinds of IP that are crucial to its operations.

6. Related Disputes: Find out about any litigation, cease and desist letters, or registry processes regarding intellectual property assets that are ongoing, threatening, or in the works. If any major intellectual property conflicts are either ongoing or expected, think about hiring IP litigators to help with the investigation. Assess whether these agreements' license requirements, restrictive covenants, or other clauses might have a detrimental effect on the buyer or its affiliates—including a PE buyer's portfolio companies—after the closure.

7. Software & IT Systems: IT and software systems are essential to each company's operations, regardless of sector. Even if the target does not have any registered intellectual property, such as patents, it probably uses software for a variety of operations, including production, design, and front- or back-office work.

8. Websites & Social Media: For an asset purchase transaction, examine the terms and conditions of the relevant social media platforms to determine if there are restrictions on

transferring the associated accounts. If the target's social media accounts are non-transferable, verify whether the content can be moved to new accounts post-closing.

9. Privacy & Data Security: Although diligence on privacy and data security is not only related to intellectual property, it frequently falls within the purview of the IP legal team. Determine any pertinent problems, such as the extent to which the seller or target gathers, uses, and discloses personal or other individual's information, and evaluate the effect that privacy and data security issues have on the business of the target.[8]

Consequences of Not Conducting IP Due Diligence & Related Case Laws

1. Ownership Disputes: Risk of learning that the target has unresolved ownership concerns or does not truly hold important intellectual property. If third parties assert rights to the intellectual property, legal issues could surface. Critical asset disputes may arise if IP ownership is not confirmed.

In ***Amar Nath Sehgal v Union of India 2005***, the artist sued the government for unlawfully possessing and reproducing his sculpture without the rightful owner's consent. This highlights how important it has become to confirm ownership before purchasing intellectual property.

2. Financial Loss: Not conducting thorough IP due diligence can lead to paying more than necessary for assets or missed risks and can have serious financial repercussions in the form of lawsuits.

The ***Infosys-Finacle Case*** highlights the challenges Infosys faced when its banking software, Finacle, lacked sufficient intellectual property (IP). During talks between the parties, it caused serious questions regarding the software's ownership and valuation.

3. **Hidden Liabilities/Infringement Claims:** Undisclosed IP-related lawsuits by the seller can surface after a transaction, leading to costly legal battles. Infringement claims may create unexpected liabilities for the buyer.

[8] Kale (n 3)

A landmark judgement related to this is ***Yahoo! Inc. v Akash Arora*, 1999**. Yahoo sued Akash Arora for running a website with the domain name YahooIndia.com. Thoroughly verifying IP rights can help avoid significant legal challenges and protect brand integrity.

IP RISK MANAGEMENT AND PLANNING FOR INTEGRATION

To transition into a smooth Merger and Acquisition leading to the transfer and integration of the business model, comprehensive due diligence – tangible and intangible assets is inevitable. The process entails proactive roles to understand the clear ownership, any recurring third-party claims, relevant quantification of royalties involved, and no bypassing of IP Laws while determining the same.

Strategic Negotiations and Licensing Agreements: Notwithstanding other inputs, negotiating licensing agreements and managing the transfer of intellectual property assets, such as patents and trademarks, are significant challenges in IP risk management. Third-party resellers can assist with licensing, but they may raise prices and diminish synergies. Henceforth, the teams are required to negotiate agreements to maintain certain negotiating strengths and minimize operational inefficiencies. Properly transferring IP assets and resolving third-party claims can avert interruptions and legal liabilities.

IP Agreements and Post-Merger Considerations: Essentially, in India, the Companies Act of 2013 governs mergers and acquisitions, including IP risk management. Sections 230-234 of the Act cover mergers and demergers. Moreover, to prevent any post-merger problems, it's important to review all applicable IP agreements. These contractual arrangements must be reviewed for third-party royalty claims and obligations. IP agreements are unavailable owing to expiration or non-disclosure, the acquirer must procure the appropriate documentation and prevent any infringement on third-party IP rights after the merger. Several more requirements apply, namely, FEMA 1999, SEBI Act, 1992, and CCI Act, 2002.

Case Study - The Merger Between Idea Cellular and Vodafone India: The amalgamation of Idea Cellular and Vodafone India demonstrates effective IP risk management during an extensive M&A deal in India. The merger created India's biggest telecom operator, with a focus

on managing intellectual property, particularly spectrum rights, trademarks, and innovations. Effective IP risk administration was crucial during the merger to ensure a seamless asset transfer. This includes transferring spectrum licenses, making arrangements with exterior IP owners, and handling brand assets. During the integration process, both organizations initially operated independently. However, the long-term goal was to synchronize their operations, particularly intellectual property assets, under a united framework.

In addition to the above-mentioned, IP risk management also entails, alongside addressing potential royalties or claims from the parties that could hamper the amalgamation of entities, involvement in the timely assessment and transfer of all agreements and licensing of agreements. To reduce the economic disruption post-merger, the companies make agreements under the supervision of the legal & IP team along with outside experts to identify and address the IP-related concerns early and also make agreements with the licensor to maintain license and IP rights.[9]

In addition to the above-mentioned, IP risk management also entails, alongside addressing potential royalties or claims from the parties that could hamper the amalgamation of entities, involvement in timely assessment and transfer of all agreements and licensing of agreements. To reduce the economic disruption post-merger, the companies make agreements under the supervision of the legal & IP team along with outside experts to identify and address the IP-related concerns early and also make agreements with the licensor to maintain license and IP rights.

IP INTEGRATION

To fully realize the value of a merger or acquisition (M&A), intellectual property integration is essential. A strong plan is required to match the aggregated IP portfolio with company objectives following due diligence. This entails ranking assets according to their strategic and

[9] 'Legal Aspects of Cross-Border Mergers and Acquisitions (M&As) in India' (*King Stubb and Kasiva*, 22 July 2024) <https://ksandk.com/mergers-acquisition/legal-cros-mergers-and-acquisitions/> accessed 08 December 2024

commercial importance while assessing patents, trademarks, and trade secrets to find synergies and settle disputes.

With the use of a centralized IP management system to enforce and track IP rights, cooperation between the legal, IT, and business departments is crucial for a seamless integration. To handle changing market dynamics and legislative changes, the integration framework must be updated regularly. Effective IP integration in India requires adherence to the Trade Marks Act of 1999, the Patents Act of 1970, and regulatory scrutiny from organizations like the CCI, especially in cross-border deals.

Other steps include assigning clear team responsibilities, training employees, implementing safeguards for IP protection, and aligning policies with emerging technologies to avoid legal and operational risks.

The Disney-Fox merger is an excellent example of an effective IP integration. Disney integrated Fox's intellectual property by reworking the license agreement and brand identification, among other vital processes, to leverage Fox's IP support to Disney+ streaming services.

EXAMPLES WHERE IPR PLAYED A CRUCIAL ROLE IN M&A

1. Rolls-Royce and Volkswagen (1998):

- In 1998, Volkswagen acquired physical assets and IP rights, but they failed to acquire Rolls-Royce's name and logo, which was separately acquired by BMW. Due to the lack of due diligence, Volkswagen came to know about the settlement between BMW and Rolls-Royce related to the supply of car engines, name and logo.
- After many tripartite negotiations, BMW retained the ownership of the Rolls-Royce brand, and Volkswagen was awarded the ownership of the Bentley trademark.
- This case highlights the importance of comprehensively understanding the ownership and scope of IP assets in M&A deals.

2. Jet Airways and Trademark Ownership:

- During its Initial Public Offering, Jet Airways, a well-known brand in India's aviation industry, faced immense challenges with trademark ownership. Initially, the airline's founder, Naresh Goyal, was listed as the owner of the trademark 'Jet Airways' instead of the business itself.
- Jet Airways and Jet Enterprises, which was owned by Naresh Goyal, agreed to pay $7.5 million to acquire the trademark and intellectual property assets to mitigate possible compliance concerns and preserve business continuity.
- This example showcases how intellectual property can have a direct impact on business operations and regulatory compliance.

3. Canara Bank v N.G. Subbaraya Setty (2018, 2022 & 2024):

- The focus of this case was on the convergence of intellectual property rights and statutory restrictions. The dispute came up when Canara Bank endeavored to recover money from a borrower who had not paid the loan.
- The borrower entrusted 'EENADU,' the trademark corresponding with agarbattis, to the bank as a part of the settlement. The bank faced a difficulty as the banks abstained from engaging in the trade of goods.
- The Supreme Court pronounced that while banks can realize security interests, they can't commercially exploit trademarks or IP assets.
- This pronouncement underscores that intellectual property assets, whether used as collateral or part of an acquisition, must be carefully addressed to align with statutory regulations in M&A deals to avoid legal conflicts and ensure compliance.

CONCLUSION

The role of intellectual property in mergers and acquisitions transactions has turned 180 degrees from being a secondary consideration to a critical asset. This development highlights the growing importance of IP assets as vital players while considering the company's value and

growth. Past transactions such as Google acquiring Motorola indicate how intangible assets can often surpass tangible assets while calculating deal value.

As mentioned above in the paper, the M&A process involves four key phases. Each stage is crucial and needs to be carefully planned and executed to ensure efficient integration, transfer and valuation of IP assets. While the preliminary and transaction phases focus on the identification of risks via comprehensive due diligence, the integration phase guarantees the seamless integration of the acquired IP and adherence to regulations.

Including IP management in the M&A process is no longer just a formality; it is now strategically important. The complete value of intangible assets can only be discovered through IP strategies supported by meticulous due diligence and effective risk management. With time, IP will continue to shape M&A transactions, and managing it well will be the need of the hour for long-term growth.